Possible Astrometric Perturbation of LHS 288 A Jupiter-Mass Planet?

Jennifer L. Bartlett (Hampden-Sydney College and University of Virginia), Philip A. Janna (University of Virginia), Michael C. Begam (Steward Observatory)



LHS 288 AND REFERENCE FRAME

LHS 288 is identified by red arrow: purple dots mark its path from 1991 through 2007. Eleven reference stars used to measure its parallax and proper motion are marked by red diamonds. 2MASS sources appear as vellow points.

LHS 288 Photometry from Southern Parallax Program

$\cdot V_{C} = 1$	13.97	$\pm 0.$.05	mag
-------------------	-------	----------	-----	-----

•(R-I) _C = 2.00 ± 0.04 mag	LHS 288 Spectroscopy
•(V-I) _C = 3.60 ± 0.07 mag	•Spectral type M 5.5 V per Bessell (1991)

What if a planet was present?

·Significant peaks in both all x- and y-residual periodograms at same frequency

·Similar peaks remain when nightly normal points used instead of individual observations

·Planetary masses for perturbations of LHS 288 calculated below

MASSES FOR POSSIBLE LHS 288 PLANET

] (Perio (years	d 5)	Comp	anion Mass (M ₂₄)	Comment
1.5			7	± 2	shortest period considered
3.4	±	0.5	4	± 1	corresponds to x-periodogram maxima
5	±	1	2.8	± 0.9	corresponds to local peak in all x-periodogram
7	±	2	2.4	± 0.8	baseline, corresponds to y-periodogram maxima

Mass Limits for Possible Planet

•Minimum detectable perturbation, $\alpha_{min} = 8$ mas or 0.3 μ m Scaled from study of possible planets orbiting Barnard's Star (Bartlett 2007)

•Mass of LHS 288 0.10 M_o

(Delfosse et al. 2000 with 2MASS photometry)

•Considered periods 1.5-6.8 years (baseline)

Previous Mass Limits for Possible Companions

•Speckle interferometry revealed no brown dwarfs (28-35 Ma) with similar orbital periods (3-8 years) per Leinert et al. (1997)

·Visual inspection of CTIOPI images revealed no low-mass stellar companions per Jao et al. (2003)

LHS 288 Astrometry from Southern Parallax Program

- $\cdot \alpha = 10^{h} 44^{m} 21.24^{s}$ $\delta = -61^{\circ} 12' 35.6''$ [2000.0]
- $\pi_{rad} = 213 \pm 2 \text{ mas} \rightarrow d = 4.69 \pm 0.04 \text{ pc}$ • $\mu = 1.6420 \pm 0.0006$ " vr⁻¹ in 348.51 $\pm 0.03^{\circ}$
- •105 images in R_C on 18 nights between 1991 May and 1998 March

Standard 3 plate constant model used—magnitude, coma, and color

terms considered but found to be insignificant

•Mean error unit weight 0.54 µm (X) and 0.37 µm (Y)

Method

·University of Virginia Southern Parallax Program •Used 1-m reflector at Siding Spring Observatory

·Reduced observations using standard plate constant, central overlap solution

·Described in detail by Begam, Ianna, & Patterson (2007): preliminary planet search results in Bartlett, Ianna, & Begam 2002

·Selected stars

·Positions corrected for differential color refraction (DCR) when photometry available

·Relative parallaxes and proper motions measured

·Residuals to each observation analyzed ·X- and Y-coordinates treated separately

•Time-series analysis per Lomb-Scargle

periodogram method (Press et al. 1992) ·Frequencies searched up to 4x Nyquist frequency

·Periodograms prepared using

Individual observations

•Nightly normal points

. Too few observations for annual normal points

REFERENCES

Bartlett, J. L. 2007, "Knowing Our Neighbors: Fundamental Properties of Nearby Stars," Ph.D. diss., UVa Bartlett, J. L., Ianna, P. A., & Begam, M. C. 2002, Bull. AAS, 34, 658 Begam, M. C., Ianna, P. A., Patterson, R. J. 2007, in preparation Bessell, M. S. 1991, Astron. J., 101, 662 Delfosse, X. et al. 2000, Astron. Astrophys., 364, 217 Jao, W.-C. 2004, "Discovery and Characterization of the Highest Proper Motion Stars," Ph.D. diss., GSU Jao, W.-C. et al. 2003, Astron. J., 125, 332 Leinert, C. et al. 1997, Astron. Astrophys., 325, 159 Press, W. H. et al. (1992). Numerical Recipes in FORTRAN 77 (2nd ed; Cambridge Univ. Press), 569 Sebo, Kim. "The 40" telescope configured with the AAO's Low Dispersion Survey Spectrograph (LDSS)." MSSSOT SO Telescopes: 40-inch Telescope. 24 April 2002. < http://msowww.anu.edu.au/observing/> (25 May 2002) ock, P. A. et al. 2005, Solar Phys., 227, 153



FEATURES OF PERIODOGRAMS FOR ALL LHS 288 RESIDUALS

	Axis	(years ¹)	(years)	(mm ⁻¹)	Comments
right ascension (α)	$\rightarrow x$			16.116	
	У	0.14762	6.7739	20.651	maximum power
	cps			33.135	
declination (δ)	х			31.895	local peak
	→ y	0.18453	5.4192	16.688	adjacent to maximum
	cps			44.680	maximum power for all observations
combined power	х			33.380	maximum power
	у	0.29525	3.3870	14.581	local peak
statistic	→ cps			44.070	maximum power for nightly residuals

NOTE.-False alarm probability < 1% for all points listed above.



•Not known to be binaries ·12 Stars show no indication of companions

White Dwarf LHS 34 Red Dwarfs (M0.5-M5.5) LHS 271 LHS 337 LHS 532 LHS 1134 LHS 1565 LHS 2310 I HS 2739 LHS 2813 LHS 3064 LHS 3242

LHS 3418

•1 Star, LHS 288, shows possible signals that merit further analysis

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Results

We acknowledge support from NSF grants AST 98-20711 and 05-07711. Georgia State University, the Space Interferometry Mission (SIM), F. H. Levinson Fund of the Peninsula Community Foundation, UVa, and Hampden-Sydney College in addition to support and generous observing time allocations from the Research School of Astronomy & Astrophysics, Australian National Un CTIOPI was a NOAO Survey Program and continues as part of the SMARTS This research used data products from 2MASS, which is a joint project of the Univ. MA & IPAC/CalTech, funded by the NASA; NASA ADS Abstract System: SIMBAD database, operated at CDS, Strasb ourg, France: Aladin:

and, ESO MAMA imagery

What about LHS 288?

- ·Periodograms for LHS 288 are noisier than any others in this sample
- ·Signals detected may be spurious but require additional investigation Comparison with external data set or follow-up observations
- ·Signals persist after
- ·Complete re-reduction from archival images
- ·Confirming all observation times
- ·Testing for additional plate constants
- •LHS 288 lies in crowded region near galactic plane

LHS 288—Possible planetary signal

•X-spectra maxima with period of ~3.4 years

•Y-spectra maxima with period of ~7 years

•X- and Y-peaks remain with reduced power for nightly residuals; false alarm probabilities < 15%

•Adding X- and Y-periodograms (combined power statistic) produces peaks at ~3 4 and ~5 years: false alarm probabilities < 5%

.5-year orbit maximum, all observations considered

3.4-year orbit maximum, nightly observations







Significance Level vs. False Alarm Probability

·Higher power indicates stronger signal

·Significance level-likelihood peak identifies real "signal"

False alarm probability—likelihood noise produced "signal"



Parameter Description

1 meter (40 inches), f/8 CCD

V, R, & I according to Cousins

GEC P88500 chip with 0.57" pixel-GEC P8603 chip with 0.56" pixel

Plate Scale 25.55" mm Filters

For More Information jlb2j@virginia.edu www.astro.virginia.edu/~jlb2j/research.htm

REFLECTOR CHARACTERISTICS